

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TYRONE NOEL NUNN,

Plaintiff,

v.

ELY STATE PRISON DISTRICT OF
NEVADA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:23-cv-00542-MMD-CSD

ORDER

(ECF Nos. 4, 5, 6)

This is one of numerous civil-rights actions under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 that *pro se* Plaintiff Tyrone Noel Nunn, an inmate in the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections, has filed since September 2023. Plaintiff initiated this case with a document titled “Ex Parte Motion for the Appointment of Counsel and Affidavit of: Sexual Harassment and False Imprisonment Class Action Suit.” (ECF No. 1-1). Plaintiff subsequently filed a document titled “Initiation of Actions” that is a collection of handwritten statutes, a vague “affidavit” about exhaustion of administrative remedies, multiple *ex parte* requests for the appointment of counsel, prison classification papers, documents from one of Plaintiff’s state criminal cases, an incomplete IFP application, and a proposed summons. (ECF Nos. 3, 4). Plaintiff subsequently filed a document which appears to be a motion to consolidate several cases and includes several more requests for appointment of counsel and affidavits. (ECF No. 5).

For the reasons discussed below, the Court denies Plaintiff’s requests for appointment of counsel, denies Plaintiff’s motion for appointment of counsel, and grants him a final extension of time to file a single, complete complaint and either pay the full \$402 filing fee or file a completed financial certificate on this Court’s approved form.

I. DISCUSSION**A. Plaintiff must file a single, complete complaint.**

“A civil action is commenced by filing a complaint with the court.” Fed. R. Civ. P.

3. Generally, a complaint must contain “a short and plain statement of the claim showing

1 that the [plaintiff] is entitled to relief” and “a demand for the relief sought, which may
 2 include relief in the alternative or different types of relief.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 8. “A civil-rights
 3 complaint filed by a person who is not represented by an attorney must be submitted on
 4 the form provided by this court or must be legible and contain substantially all the
 5 information called for by the court’s form.” Nev. Loc. R. LSR 2-1. And the complaint must
 6 be signed personally by the unrepresented party. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). Furthermore, a
 7 party must state its claims or defenses in numbered paragraphs, each limited as far as
 8 practicable to a single set of circumstances.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(b). “[E]ach claim founded
 9 on a separate transaction or occurrence . . . must be stated in a separate count.” *Id.*

10 Furthermore, “[p]laintiffs generally have ‘no right to maintain two separate actions
 11 involving the same subject matter at the same time in the same court and against the
 12 same defendant.’” *Adams v. Cal. Dept. of Health Servs.*, 487 F.3d 684, 688 (9th Cir.
 13 2007), overruled on other grounds by *Taylor v. Sturgell*, 553 U.S. 880 (2008), (quoting
 14 *Walton v. Eaton Corp.*, 563 F.2d 66, 70 (3d Cir. 1977)). And duplicative litigation by a
 15 litigant who is proceeding under IFP status can be dismissed as malicious and thus
 16 constitute a strike under the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1915. See *Cato v.*
 17 *United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1105 n.2 (9th Cir. 1995).

18 It is not clear whether any of the documents that Plaintiff filed are intended to
 19 constitute a complaint. Plaintiff’s filings include at least 11 different documents with titles
 20 indicating that they are some combination of a motion for appointment of counsel, an
 21 affidavit, and a civil rights complaint. (ECF No. 3 at 11, 21; ECF No. 5 at 4, 9, 12, 22, 25,
 22 27, 37, 40, 45). These documents include different claims and different defendants. (*Id.*).
 23 Some of them are duplicates from other cases (ECF No. 5 at 45-48), and all of them have
 24 been simultaneously filed in multiple other cases. (See ECF No. 3 at 2; ECF No. 5 at 3).

25 Plaintiff gives no indication as to which, if any, of these documents represent the
 26 complaint or the claims that he wishes to pursue in this case, as opposed to a complaint
 27 or claims for one of his other cases. Furthermore, none of the documents comply with
 28 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8 and 10. Accordingly, the Court does not accept any

1 of the filed documents as an operative complaint in this case.

2 The Court gives Plaintiff an extension of time to file a single complaint that complies
3 with these rules and indicates the claims and defendants that Plaintiff is pursuing in this
4 case. The Court reiterates for Plaintiff's benefit that he may not bring multiple different
5 complaints in a single case. Nor may he bring the same complaint in multiple different
6 cases. Rather, Plaintiff must bring a single, unique complaint in each of his cases.

7 **B. Plaintiff must either pay the \$402 filing fee or file a financial certificate.**

8 The United States District Court for the District of Nevada must collect filing fees
9 from parties initiating civil actions. 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). The fee for filing a civil-rights
10 action is \$402, which includes the \$350 filing fee and the \$52 administrative fee. *See id.*
11 at § 1914(b). "Any person who is unable to prepay the fees in a civil case may apply to
12 the court for leave to proceed in forma pauperis." Nev. Loc. R. LSR 1-1. For an inmate
13 to apply for *in forma pauperis status*, the inmate must submit **all three** of the following
14 documents to the Court: (1) a completed **Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**
15 **for Inmate**, which is pages 1–3 of the Court's approved form, that is properly signed by
16 the inmate twice on page 3; (2) a completed **Financial Certificate**, which is page 4 of the
17 Court's approved form, that is properly signed by both the inmate and a prison or jail
18 official; and (3) a copy of the **inmate's prison or jail trust fund account statement for**
19 **the previous six-month period**. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1)–(2); Nev. Loc. R. LSR 1-
20 2. In forma pauperis status does not relieve an inmate of his or her obligation to pay the
21 filing fee, it just means that the inmate can pay the fee in installments. *See* 28 U.S.C. §
22 1915(b).

23 Plaintiff's IFP application is incomplete because he did not submit a financial
24 certificate on this Court's approved form. (*See* ECF No. 4 at 4). Rather, Plaintiff
25 submitted a financial certificate that purports to be for state court. (*Id.*) The Court grants
26 Plaintiff a final extension of time to either pay the full \$402 filing fee or file a completed
27 financial certificate on this Court's approved form.

28 ///

C. The requests for appointment of counsel are denied without prejudice.

A litigant does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil-rights actions. *Storseth v. Spellman*, 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir. 1981). Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), “[t]he court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.” However, the court will appoint counsel for indigent civil litigants only in “exceptional circumstances.” *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). “When determining whether exceptional circumstances exist, a court must consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved.” *Id.* (quotation omitted). “Neither of these considerations is dispositive and instead must be viewed together.” *Id.*

Plaintiff’s filings include multiple requests for appointment of counsel for a variety of different claims. (ECF No. 3 at 11, 21, 32; ECF No. 5 at 9, 12, 22, 25, 27, 31, 35, 37, 40, 49). The Court finds that exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel do not currently exist. Plaintiff has neither settled the matter of the filing fee nor filed a complaint that clearly indicates the claims that Plaintiff is pursuing in this case. The requests for appointment of counsel are therefore denied without prejudice.

D. The motion for consolidation is denied without prejudice.

Plaintiff filed a motion requesting that this case be consolidated with seven other cases and proceed before a three-judge panel. (ECF No. 5 at 3). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) provides that a court may consolidate the actions if the actions “involve a common question of law or fact.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a)(2). Plaintiff has not yet filed a single, complete complaint that indicates the claims that Plaintiff is pursuing in this case. As such, the Court cannot determine whether this case has any questions in common with Plaintiff’s other cases, and the Court denies the motion without prejudice.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, it is ordered that Plaintiff’s requests for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 3, 5) are denied without prejudice.

1 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's incomplete application to proceed *in forma*
2 *pauperis* (ECF No. 4) is denied without prejudice.

3 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's motion to consolidate cases (ECF No. 5) is
4 denied without prejudice.

5 It is further ordered that, on or before **April 15, 2024**, Plaintiff will either pay the full
6 \$402 filing fee or file a complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on this Court's
7 approved form.

8 It is further ordered that, on or before **April 15, 2024**, Plaintiff will submit a single
9 complete complaint, indicating the claims and defendants that Plaintiff is pursuing in this
10 specific action to this Court.

11 Plaintiff is cautioned that this action will be subject to dismissal without prejudice if
12 he fails to timely comply with this order. A dismissal without prejudice allows Plaintiff to
13 refile the case with the Court, under a new case number, when he can file a complaint
14 and either pay the required filing fee or file a complete application to proceed in forma
15 pauperis.

16 It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court will send Plaintiff Tyrone Noel Nunn
17 the approved form for filing a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint and instructions for the same
18 and the approved form application to proceed in forma pauperis for an inmate and
19 instructions for the same.

20 DATED THIS 20th day of February 2024.

21
22 
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28